Previous references.

File No. 4 of 85

1911.

Serial Nos. 1–6

(Courts)

Later references.

Ch 5 of 87
– 73-15-9

Q. 10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–6

Part B. for June 1886

SUBJECT.

Trial of Sessions cases of the Hassan District at Mysore, as a temporary measure.

Sl. 1. From — The Hble: Judge Mysore.
No. 2386/26 Dt 21-4-86

Recommends that the Sessions cases of the Hassan District may be tried at Mysore

2 To — The Hble: Judge
No. 1260/6 Dt - 2 - 8 - 86

Suggests with certain observations that the Session cases of Hassan may as a temporary measure be tried there alone

G. R. S. 58

3 From The hble: judge mysore

No. 2674/37 Dt 22-5-86

Stake & that the majority of the Courts is opinion that the Dist judge of mysore will be hampered in disposing the Civil work should he be to attend the sessions periodically.

4. To. The hble: judge mysore

Camp No. 253 Dt 27-5-86

Requested to submit a statement showing the file of the Dist judge of mysore and the work done by him during 1885 and 1st Quarter of 1886.

5. From The hble: judge

No. 2723/39 Dt 2-6-86

Forwards the statement called for above

6. To The hble: judge

No. A. Dt 10-6-86

Directed that the Sessions cases of Hassan District may be tried at mysore as a temporary measure.

J. V. R.

No 2386
26.

Bangalore 21st April 1886.

(1)

From,

B. G. Plumer Esquire.
Chief Judge of Mysore

Ch G.

FILE NO. 4 of 85
SHEET NO. 1

To,
His Highness
the Maha Rajah of Mysore

Sir

I have the honor to recommend that the Sessions of the Hassan District be tried at Mysore, as, if the Sessions Judge of Mysore has to visit Hassan every two months for Sessions, his Civil work will fall greatly into arrears. —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obdt. Servant,

B. Plumer
Chief Judge

Ans 4/6

9
S-57

Office of the Deputy Commr
Camp Dalaramund 2/5/86.
No 1260

To, The Chief Judge
of Amparai.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No $\frac{2386}{26}$ d 21 April 1886, I have the honor to observe that prior to the abolition of the late Hassan District in 1882, the Sessions Cases of that District used to be tried at Hassan, and there was no complaint of the District Judge's Civil work falling into arrears in consequence. As since then, the Subordinate Judge's pecuniary jurisdiction had been raised, there appears to be the less reason now to apprehend that the Dt. Judge's other work would suffer by a periodical Sessions at Hassan.

Under such circumstances, I would suggest that as a temporary measure

FILE NO. 4 of 1885
SERIAL NO. 2

the Session Cases of
the Hassan District
may be tried at Hassan,
as such an arrange-
ment would be much
appreciated by the
people of Hassan & ;
especially those of
Manjerabad and
Belur -

Thanks

C Duncan

No 2674
37.

5 4

Bangalore 19th May 1886.

From.

C. G. Plumer Esquire
(3)
Chief Judge of Mysore.

To

The Dewan to His Highness
the Maha Rajah of Mysore

Sir

With reference to your letter No 1260/6 of the 2nd instant, I have the honor to state that a majority of the Court, consisting of the Chief Judge and Rao Thumboo Chetty, is of opinion that the District Judge of Mysore will be very seriously hampered in disposing of his Civil Work, if he has to go to Kolar periodically to hold Sessions. The latest returns show that with his present territorial Jurisdiction, the District Judge can only keep his Civil work up to time, by dint of continuous hard work.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servt

Rod 8/6

C. G. Plumer
Chief Judge

J
5-51

(General Serial No. 30.)

188

4

From Chief
The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

To The Registrar
Chief Court.

Dated Bolacumund 27 May 86

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

Camp
~~General~~ No. 253
~~No.~~

Cho

~~4 of 85~~

~~No.~~ 4

Letter from the Chief Judge No 2674 d 19/5/74

Instant

Requests that a statement, shewing the file of the D! Judge of Bangalore, and the work done by him, during 1885 and the 1st quarter of 1886, may be sent as early as practicable.

B. O

Chief Secy

No 2723
39.

Bangalore 31st May 1886.

From,

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore

To,

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan

SECTION
FILE No.
SLIP No.

As requested in your No: 253 of the 27th instant, I am directed to forward the accompanying Statement, showing the work done by the District and Sessions Judge of Mysore, during the year 1885 and the 1st quarter of 1886.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Ramayya Rau
Registrar

Ind
4/6

Statement shewing the work done by the District and Sessions Judge of Mysore. Enclosure No 5 to Letter No

Description of work.	1885		1st quarter of 1886.	
	For disposal	Disposed of	For disposal	Disposed of
Civil				
1. Original Suits	88	67	39	11
2. Miscellaneous cases	1001	937	401	364
3. Applications for execution of decrees	208	167	111	84
4. Applications for declaration of insolvency	71	41	42	26
5 Appeals	469	345	282	79
6. Mis. Appeals	28	24	13	8
Criminal.				
1. Sessions Cases	31	31	4	4
2. Criminal Appeals	53	52	9	7

S. Ramayya Avu
Registrar

6/6

4
5-51

(General Serial No. 31.)

188

(6)

From

No. 4

To Chief Judge

Date 10th June 1886.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

~~General~~ No. A

~~No.~~

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with the letter of 27th ult. and 2nd instant, I have the honour to state that from the statement received with the last letter it does not appear that the file of the Deputy Judge's diary ~~from~~ has been ~~kept~~ ^ kept, ~~as the Judge has been away~~ ~~from~~ ~~headquarters. His~~

as to make it undesirable to require him to hold periodical Sessions at Hazaribagh. But as the Chief Court think are at present of a different opinion, the Sessions Cases of Hazaribagh should be tried at Ranchi as a temporary measure subject to modification hereafter, if considered necessary, with reference to the actual state of the work during the remainder of this year

H. 10/6/85

would become considerably lighter when cases below Rs 5000 in value - which in fact makes the bulk of the D.J.'s file - and a portion of the appeals against D.J.'s decisions go to the A.J.'s Judge of Ranchi should consider that there is no apprehension of the D.J.'s civil work suffering by reason of his holding periodical Sessions at Hazaribagh. As, however, a majority of the Civil Judges think otherwise, I sanction the Hazaribagh Sessions being held at Ranchi as a temporary measure subject to modification on a further trial